

SPiCE Workshop: Team Ping! Flexible State-Merging with Python

10th October 2016

Chris Hammerschmidt, firstname.lastname@uni.lu

Interdisciplinary Centre for Security, Reliability and Trust University of Luxembourg



Context for our Participation

Core Assumptions



We live in a deterministic world where everything is regular

We assume: Everything is generated by a PDFA.

State-Merging for PDFA I





State-Merging for PDFA II





State-Merging for PDFA III





State-Merging for PDFA IV





State-Merging for PDFA V

Reminder: Merging Conceptually





C. Hammerschmidt (SnT)

Team Ping! @ SPiCE ICGI 2016

10th October 2016 6 / 30

State-Merging for PDFA VI

Reminder: Merging Conceptually





C. Hammerschmidt (SnT)

Team Ping! @ SPiCE ICGI 2016

10th October 2016 7 / 30

State-Merging for PDFA VII





State-Merging for PDFA VIII





State-Merging for PDFA IX

Reminder: Merging Conceptually





C. Hammerschmidt (SnT)

Team Ping! @ SPiCE ICGI 2016

10th October 2016 10 / 30

State-Merging for PDFA X

Reminder: Merging Conceptually





Animation graphics made by Sicco Verwer.

C. Hammerschmidt (SnT)

Team Ping! @ SPiCE ICGI 2016

10th October 2016 11 / 30

Our Results

Submitting baselines and our algorithms



Set	ALERGIA	3-gram	spectral	likelihood	overlap	Submitted	Score	Rank	Best
1	0.841	0.843	0.874	0.841	0.841	spectral	0.879	4	0.918
2	0.823	0.818	0.872	0.799	0.768	spectral	0.874	5	0.920
3	0.778	0.776	0.828	0.790	0.722	spectral	0.825	7	0.886
4	0.370	0.538	0.470	0.400	0.439	3-gram	0.528	5	0.608
5	0.551	0.527	0.361	/	/	ALERGIA	0.555	8	0.810
6	0.650	0.675	0.631	0.531	0.597	ALERGIA ₅₀	0.724	7	0.860
7	0.337	0.442	0.367	/	/	3-gram	0.440	7	0.785
8	0.512	0.591	0.535	0.494	0.507	3-gram	0.597	4	0.657
9	0.929	0.859	0.818	0.867	0.847	ALERGIA ₅₀	0.948	2	0.963
10	0.284	0.413	0.302	/	/	3-gram	0.396	7	0.552
11	0.301	0.389	0.379	/	/	3-gram	0.372	9	0.544
12	0.654	0.700	0.575	/	/	3-gram	0.699	7	0.811
13	0.299	0.436	0.372	/	/	4-gram	0.455	4	0.588
14	0.306	0.339	0.359	0.399	0.326	ALERGIA ₁₀₀	0.379	7	0.465
15	0.265	0.251	0.221	/	/	spectral	0.279	5	0.298

Implementation and Algorithms

A Python library for state-merging



	GI-learning	LearnLib	libalf	GI toolbox
Algorithm strategies	Offline/Online	Online	Offline/Online	Offline
Noise tolerance	Yes	No	No	No
Parallel impl.	Yes	No	No	No
Efficiency	Very High	High	Medium	Low
Progr. language	C++	Java	C++, Java (JNI)	Matlab
Hierarchical structure	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Code documentation	Yes	Yes	No	Yes

Taken from GI-learning: an optimized framework for grammatical inference by P. Cottone, M. Ortolani, G. Pergola in Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Computer Systems and Technologies

Piggyback on scikit-learn with our existing Tool: dfasat



SVM Estimator in sklearn

from sklearn import svm
get training samples and labels
X_samples, Y_labels = get_data()
initialize classifier
clf = svm.SVC(gamma=0.001, C=100)
learn and predict

clf.fit(X_samples, Y_labels)
clf.predict(sequence)

Piggyback on scikit-learn with our existing Tool: dfasat



SVM Estimator in sklearn

from sklearn import svm
get training samples and labels
X_samples, Y_labels = get_data()
initialize classifier
clf = svm.SVC(gamma=0.001, C=100)
learn and predict

clf.fit(X_samples, Y_labels) clf.predict(sequence)

DFASAT Estimator in sklearn

Compatibility with the Rest of the World!

As well as piggybacking on scikit-learns' features



Added bonus: scikit-learn infrastructure:

- cross-validation
- ensembles
- grid-search

▶ ...

Why we care about our implementation.



- GI is often a heuristic process, intends to recover/converge to a target
- what to do if there is no clear target?
- what to do if we have extra information from an application field?

Our approach: Change the heuristic!

- Use case: Distilling/privileged data
- wind<sup>speed^{prediction}</sub>, protocol reverse engineering
 </sup>

E.g. learn from a tuple $\langle a, b \rangle, a \in A, b \in B$ and only classify/predict only from $a \in A$.

Why we care about our implementation.



- GI is often a heuristic process, intends to recover/converge to a target
- what to do if there is no clear target?
- what to do if we have extra information from an application field?

Our approach: Change the heuristic!

- Use case: Distilling/privileged data
- wind^{speedprediction}, protocol reverse engineering

E.g. learn from a tuple $< a, b >, a \in A, b \in B$ and only classify/predict only from $a \in A$.

What do we mean by it?





Consistency check, score calculation, summary statistic collection on a a tree of node objects managed by a state-merger.

How does it work?



```
class evaluation function {
70
71
72
     protected:
       static DerivedRegister<evaluation function> reg;
73
74
75
     public:
76
77
     /* Global data */
     * huge influence on performance, needs to be simple */
103
104
        virtual bool consistent(state merger*, apta node* left, apta node* right);
       virtual void update_score(state merger*, apta node* left, apta node* right);
105
106
        virtual void undo update(state merger*, apta node* left, apta node* right);
107
      /* Called when testing a merge
108
109
      * compute the score and consistency of a merge, and reset global counters/structures
110
      * influence on performance, needs to be somewhat simple */
        virtual bool compute consistency(state merger *, apta node* left, apta node* right);
112
        virtual int compute_score(state merger *, apta node* left, apta node* right);
113
114
        virtual void reset(state merger *);
115
```

Plug and play evaluation functions.

Team Ping! @ SPiCE ICGI 2016

Example: Evidence Driven Heuristic



```
61
     bool count driven::consistent(state merger *merger, apta node* left, apta node* right){
         if(inconsistency found) return false;
62
63
         count data* 1 = (count data*)left->data;
64
65
         count data* r = (count data*)right->data;
66
67
         if(l->num accepting != 0 && r->num rejecting != 0){ inconsistency found = true; retu
         if(1->num rejecting != 0 && r->num accepting != 0){ inconsistency found = true: retu
68
69
70
         return true:
71
     };
72
73
     void count driven::update score(state merger *merger, apta node* left, apta node* right).
74
       num merges += 1;
75
     };
76
     int count driven::compute score(state merger *merger, apta node* left, apta node* right).
77
       return num merges;
78
79
     };
```

Example: Evidence Driven Heuristic



```
17
     /* Evidence driven state merging, count number of pos-pos and neg-neg merges */
     void evidence driven::update score(state merger *merger, apta node* left, apta node* ri
18
         edsm data* 1 = (edsm data*) left->data;
19
         edsm data* r = (edsm data*) right->data;
20
21
22
         if(l->num accepting > 0 && r->num accepting > 0) num pos += 1;
         if(l->num rejecting > 0 && r->num rejecting > 0) num neg += 1;
23
24
     };
25
26
     int evidence driven::compute score(state merger *merger, apta node* left, apta node* right)
27
       return num pos + num neg;
     };
28
29
     void evidence driven::reset(state merger *merger){
30
       inconsistency found = false;
31
32
       num pos = 0:
33
       num neg = 0;
34
     };
```



```
Example: Mealy Machine Heuristic
     63
           bool mealv::consistent(state merger *merger, apta node* left, apta node* right){
     64
               if(inconsistency found) return false;
      65
     66
               mealv data* 1 = reinterpret cast<mealv data*>(left->data);
     67
               mealy data* r = reinterpret_cast<mealy data*>(right->data);
     68
     69
               int matched = 0;
     70
               for(output map::iterator it = r->outputs.begin(); it != r->outputs.end(); ++it){
     72
                   int input = (*it).first;
     73
                   int output = (*it).second;
     74
     75
                   if(1->outputs.find(input) != 1->outputs.end()){
                       if(1->outputs[input] != output){
     76
     77
                           inconsistency found = true;
                           return false:
     78
     79
                       matched = matched + 1:
     80
      81
                   }
     82
     83
               num unmatched = num unmatched + (1->outputs.size() - matched);
     84
     85
               num unmatched = num unmatched + (r->outputs.size() - matched);
     86
               num matched = num matched + matched;
     87
               return true:
     88
     89
          };
```

Encapsulating C++ Code in Python

Lessons learned



It's very easy ...

.. to shoot yourself in the foot.

C. Hammerschmidt (SnT)

Team Ping! @ SPiCE ICGI 2016

10th October 2016 21 / 30

Encapsulating C++ Code in Python

Lessons learned



It's very easy ...

... to shoot yourself in the foot.

Evaluation of Wrappers



- Around a dozen wrappers using different methods
- How did we decide?
- Performance: our own benchmark suite
- Ease of use

ctypes

Direct access to shared compiled libraries (gcc -shared -fPIC) *SWIG*

Code generator, automatically creates bindings from C++ headers *Boost.Python*

Interface library, explicit mappings, works both ways

Evaluation of Wrappers





Py++



Compiled



DFASAT



Boost.Python I

The ultimate goal: rapid prototyping heuristics.



40	<pre>class evaluation(main.evaluation_function):</pre>
41	<pre>definit(self):</pre>
42	<pre>print('from python: evaluation function init')</pre>
43	<pre>def consistent(self, merger, left, right):</pre>
44	return True

Implementation

Jupyter notebooks





Shortcomings



- np.array input format is weird, as columns are not features
- we also provide ensemble methods as we don't have serialization yet and the student graduated

We should team up to create a sequence-prediction counterpart to scikit-learn.

Conclusion

- It's possible to benefit from the existing ecosystem, but it doesn't come for free.
- Flexible state-merging has a lot of unexplored use-cases.

Returning to the topic: SPiCE

- we were got at software traces, and really bad at NLP problems-no surprise
- we did ok on most synthetic datasets, but they were generated by a slightly more expressive model
- I think we can leverage grammatical information in our framework, but it requires some additional feature engineering

Conclusion

- It's possible to benefit from the existing ecosystem, but it doesn't come for free.
- Flexible state-merging has a lot of unexplored use-cases.

Returning to the topic: SPiCE

- we were got at software traces, and really bad at NLP problems-no surprise
- we did ok on most synthetic datasets, but they were generated by a slightly more expressive model
- I think we can leverage grammatical information in our framework, but it requires some additional feature engineering



Thank You!

Also to Sicco Verwer, Benjamin Loos¹, and the team.

Time for questions.

¹and supervisors Thomas Engel and Radu State

C. Hammerschmidt (SnT)

Team Ping! @ SPiCE ICGI 2016





dfasat is currently on the python package index, and can be installed via

pip install dfasat

although it's not quite production-ready.

Talk to me about your needs in a tool.